



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,385	09/10/2003	Do-Sung Kim	8733.824.00-US	3312
30827	7590	06/20/2005	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006				SCHECHTER, ANDREW M
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2871		

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,385	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew Schechter	2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2005.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-30 and 40-61 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 1-30 and 56-61 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 40-55 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date, _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 40-43, 45-51, and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Kim et al.*, US 2001/0019391.

Kim discloses [see Figs. 5 and 14C, for instance] a liquid crystal display device comprising a first substrate [31] having a plurality of pixel regions, the pixel region having first [top 1/3 of left-hand pixel in Fig. 14C] and second regions [bottom 2/3 of left-hand pixel in Fig. 14C, excluding the triangle wedges cut out on either side], gate and date lines [1, 3], a TFT having gate electrode [11], semiconductor layer [5], source and drain electrodes [7, 9], an auxiliary electrode [15] having a plurality of portions [two diagonal and one horizontal] in the second region of the pixel region, and a pixel electrode [13] in the pixel region having at least one slit [51] corresponding to the portion of the auxiliary electrode [both diagonal portions]; a black matrix layer [25] on a second substrate [33], a color filter layer [23] on the black matrix layer, a common electrode [17] on the color filter layer, and a protrusion [53] on the common electrode, the protrusion being formed around the second region [the Pac-Man shaped outline consisting of 2 horizontal segments, 4 diagonal segments, and 3 vertical segments] and

in the second region at positions corresponding to the portions of the auxiliary electrode that does not correspond to the slit [the horizontal part of 53 in the 2nd region, on top of the horizontal segment of the auxiliary electrode 15]. Claim 40 is therefore anticipated.

Kim also discloses the method of making this structure, so claim 48 is also anticipated.

The auxiliary electrode has a zigzag pattern, so claims 41 and 49 are also anticipated. The slit corresponds to a diagonal portion of the auxiliary electrode, so claims 42 and 50 are also anticipated. The drain electrode is extended in a horizontal direction to the gate line, so claims 43 and 51 are also anticipated. The second region is divided into a plurality of domains, so claims 45 and 53 are also anticipated. Each domain has a symmetric liquid crystal alignment with respect to the portion of the auxiliary electrode, so claims 46 and 54 are also anticipated. The gate line forms a fringe field in the first region, so claims 47 and 55 are also anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 44 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kim et al.*, US 2001/0019391 as applied above, in view of *Kwon et al.*, US 2002/0097364.

Kim discloses the drain electrode spaced apart from the source electrode, but does not disclose the source electrode having a U-shape. *Kwon* does disclose [Fig. 5a] a TFT with the source electrode having a U-shape. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use such a TFT having a u-shaped source electrode, motivated by *Kwon*'s teaching [paragraph 0049] that by doing so the size of the TFT may be made smaller than the conventional TFT, thereby increasing the aperture ratio and improving the display quality. Claims 44 and 52 are therefore unpatentable.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-30 and 56-61 are allowed.
6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose the liquid crystal display device of claim 1, in particular the limitations that there is a first auxiliary electrode under the data line, a plurality of horizontal second auxiliary electrodes, and protrusions on the second substrate between the second auxiliary electrodes. Claim 1 is therefore allowed, as are dependent claims 2-15.

The prior art does disclose the method of manufacturing a liquid crystal display device of claim 16, in particular the limitations of forming a first auxiliary electrode and a plurality of second auxiliary electrodes, the first auxiliary electrode having first and second portions spaced apart from each other under the data line, the second auxiliary

electrode connecting the second portion of the first auxiliary electrode to a first portion of another first auxiliary electrode under an adjacent data line, and protrusions on the second substrate between the second auxiliary electrodes. Claim 16 is therefore allowed, as are dependent claims 17-30.

The prior art does not disclose the liquid crystal display device of claim 56, in particular the limitations that there is an auxiliary electrode having a vertical electrode under the data line and a plurality of horizontal electrodes connecting to the vertical electrode, and diagonal protrusions on the second substrate between the slits in the pixel electrode. Claim 56 is therefore allowed, as are dependent claims 57-61.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Schechter whose telephone number is (571) 272-2302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Schechter
Andrew Schechter
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800
16 June 2005